Maine Library of Geographic Information Board

Meeting Minutes

October 18, 2023, 10:00 AM.

Attendees:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Clark, Jay | Executive Director |
| Leticia vanVuuren | Chair |
| Gregory Copeland | Member |
| Guerrette, Brian | Member |
| Jacques, Maria | Member |
| Pettit, Emily | Guest |
| Katie Bernhardt | Member |
| Scopel, Tracy | Guest |
| Kalmon, Rena D | Guest |
| Bistrais, Bob | Guest |
| Horne, Sharon | Member |
| Rude, Avinash | Guest |
| Vinton J Valentine | Member |
| King, Tara  | Guest |
| Aaron Weston | Member |
| Young, Clarence | Guest |
| Patrick Cunningham | Member |
| Mal Carey | Member |
| Nate Kane | Member |

The meeting was called to order at 10:03 AM.

Item 1) Leticia took the roll call. A quorum is present.

Transcription of the meeting began at 10:05:84.

Item 2) The Board voted unanimously to approve the minutes of the 5/23 meeting.

Item 3) The Board voted to approve the minutes of the 9/23 meeting with the clarification that the header with the title and date of the meeting be added to the document. Maria abstained. Vote Count was 7 in favor and one abstention.

Item 4) Jay gave the executive Directors report.

The slides are available on request.

The report had three basic areas of information.

1. Update on finances
2. Update on Strategic Plan
3. Discussion of monies to be expended on Platform or Content.
	1. Platform is delayed to difficulties with cloud integration
	2. Imagery is awaiting PVRFP (Preferred Vendor Request for Proposal)
	3. Lidar is on the table now through the 3DEP (3DElevation Program) with USGS
		1. This year should be a repeat of the 2016-year capture area.
		2. We can apply for funding through submission of a DCA request and funding partnership agreement. Tara King provided some clarification on the differences between the 3DEP and 3DHP (3D Hydrography Program) programs, namely that the 3DHP data is derived from the 3DEP LiDAR. New 3DHP data does not mean new captured Lidar. It is a derivative product.
		3. This will likely spend close to the full General Fund allocation depending on how we deal with the funding. The anticipated cost is approximately 475K.
		4. We could decide to allocate ½ these funds from the trust fund and match them ourselves. This needs confirmation.

In closing Jay made a pitch for everyone’s attendance at the November planning meeting.

Following the Executive Director’s report there was discussion on the LiDAR, related to the match funding and the quality levels of the coverage. Rena Kalmon joined the discussion and provided clarification as much as she could considering the unknowns of the selection process.

Item 5) Leticia asked for a motion to authorize the submission of a DCA to request funding a LiDAR collection as described in the Executive Director’s report and working in partnership with USGS.

Gregory Copeland moved the question.

Maria Jacques seconded.

Leticia called the question.

Nate Kane abstained.

The remainder of the members present voted in the affirmative.

Item 6) Leticia asked if there was new business.

A discussion ensued regarding the Open meeting laws and the requirements for the planning meeting. Based on feedback from the Secretary of State’s Ombudsman’s office the meeting must be open to the public.

A copy of the email regarding this will be sent to the Board members.

The consensus of the discussion was that we would provide in-house seating at the meeting for Board Members and Library staff who can attend in person. Board members who cannot attend in person and member of the Public may attend via Teams.

Nate Kane made a motion to adjourn.

Brian Guerrette seconded.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:36 AM.